Can Urinary Catheterization Before Birth Reduce Postpartum Urinary Retention?
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: Postpartum urinary retention (PUR) is defined as no spontaneous micturition in a period of more than 6 hours postpartum or residual volume of >150cc after urination. If a diagnosis is not made, there may be problems of over-distention of the bladder and consequently, denervation, detrusor atony and long-term micturition problems. This study aimed to examine the effect of urinary catheterization during birth on postpartum urinary retention (PUR).

STUDY DESIGN: A prospective randomized study was conducted with 137 patients. The study and control groups were formed according to a random number table. In the study group, urinary catheterization was applied before birth. In both groups, the time of the first postpartum micturition was recorded and after the first micturition, the residual urine volume was measured with catheterization. Cases with no spontaneous micturition in the first 6 hours postpartum were accepted as PUR. The two groups were compared with respect to time of first micturition and residual urine volume using Mann Whitney U-test and the presence of PUR with Chi-square test.

RESULTS: The time to first micturition was determined to be shorter in the group where urinary catheterization was applied before birth, the PUR rate was lower and the amount of residual urine was less (p<0.05). Birthweight, duration of labour, maternal age, weight, gravida and use of oxytocin were similar between the groups (p>0.05).

CONCLUSION: Urinary catheterization before birth reduces the rate of PUR.
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Introduction

Postpartum urinary retention (PUR) is defined as non-full urination following birth. Overt PUR is defined as no spontaneous micturition for a period of more than 6 hours postpartum, while covert PUR is defined as a residual volume of >150cc after urination.¹,² The incidence varies over a wide range of 0.05%-37%.² PUR can cause over-distention of the bladder and consequently, denervation, detrusor atony and long-term micturition problems.³ Although the pathophysiology of PUR is not fully known, physiological, neurological and mechanical reasons in the postpartum period may be responsible.⁴ Method of birth, analgesia and anesthesia methods, duration of labour, perineal trauma, birthweight, parity, maternal age, weight and postpartum post-void residual volume may be responsible factors.² Care of the bladder either immediately prior to or during delivery to encourage micturition of the pregnant patient during labour may reduce PUR besides reducing the effect of these factors.

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect on PUR of temporary urinary catheterization applied immediately before birth.

Material and Method

This prospective, randomized study was conducted at the Bakirköy Training and Research Hospital between 1st January and 30th September 2014. Approval for the study was granted by the Local Ethics Committee with decision no 2014/04/07. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

The study included 137 patients giving birth by the vaginal route. Patients with pelvic organ prolapse, a history of vaginal or bladder surgery, a history of bladder atony or over-
active bladder and those with urinary system infection were excluded. In addition, those requiring catheterization because of acute bladder distention or palpable bladder were excluded. To be able to more objectively evaluate the effect of catheterization alone, cases with opened episiotomy, serious perineal injury, birthweight of the infant of >4000 gr and those to whom fundal pressure was applied, were also excluded.

On first admittance to the hospital, all patients were examined with respect to gravida, age, weight, systemic diseases, vaginal examination and full urine examination for the diagnosis of urinary system infection. A standard 1000 cc of saline was given to all patients and 20 units of oxytocin was added in saline if induction was required.

During labour, the duration of the active phase, 2nd and 3rd stage were recorded. The cases who were to have catheterization applied before birth and those who were not were determined by a random number table. Those who were not to have catheterization were defined as the control group. In the study group, catheterization was applied at the end of the 2nd stage before labour of the head. In the postpartum follow-up, the time of the first urination was recorded, the residual volume was measured with catheterization. When there was no urination in the first 6 hours postpartum, those cases were accepted as PUR.1 The rates of PUR were compared between the study and control groups and the cases with and without PUR were compared with each other.

Statistical evaluation of the data was done with SPSS for Windows v. 11.5 software. In the study and the control group, comparison was made of age, weight and infant birthweight with Student’s t-test, gravida, duration of delivery phases, time to urination and postvoidal residual amount with Mann Whitney U-test and the rates of oxytocin application with Chi-square test. In cases with and without PUR, comparison of infant birthweight was made with t-test and the oxytocin and duration of labour phases, time to first urination, volume before or after birth and residual amount after first urination with Mann Whitney U-test. A value of p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. In our study, it is assuming there may be a difference of ±15 units; The strength test 90% and when the type I error is received as 0.05, the sample size was calculated to be at least 120 individuals.

Results

The mean age of all the pregnant patients in the study was 29.56 years, mean weight was 70.71 kg and mean gravida was 2. Labour was facilitated with oxytocin in 40 (29.2%) cases. The mean birthweight of the infants was 3435 gr (Table 1). The durations of the stages of labour, the time to first micturition and PUR rates are shown in Table 1. PUR was determined in 34 (24.8%) cases. No statistically significant difference was seen between the study and control groups with respect to age,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Patient characteristics, time of labor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean±SD) year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Mean±SD) kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravida (median(min.-max.))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin use n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Weight (ort±SD) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active phase duration (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second stage duration of labor (Mean±SD (median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third stage duration of labor (Mean±SD (median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total labor time (Mean±SD (median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micturition (Mean±SD min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUR n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pur: Postpartum urinary retention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Comparison of catheterized and non-catheterized cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catheterization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean±SD) year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Mean±SD) kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravida (median(min.-max.))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin use n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Weight (Mean±SD) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active phase duration of labor (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second stage duration of labor (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third stage duration of labor (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total labor time (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum micturition time (ort±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUR n(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postvoidal residual volume (Mean±SD(median)) cc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: t test for independent samples, b: Mann-Whitney U test, c: Chi-Square test, SD: Standard deviation, PUR: Postpartum urinary retention
weight, gravida, use of oxytocin, infant birthweight and duration of labour (p>0.05) (Table 2). In the group where catheterization was not applied before birth, the rate of PUR was higher and the residual volume after the first micturition was seen to be greater (p<0.05) (Table 2). When the cases were compared according to the presence of PUR, the time to first micturition and the residual volume after first micturition were seen to be greater in cases with PUR (p<0.05). No statistically significant difference was determined with respect to stages of labour, infant birthweight and oxytocin application (p>0.05) (Table 3). In all cases with PUR, spontaneous recovery was seen within the first 48 hours.

Discussion

The results of this study showed lower rates of PUR in patients with urinary catheterization applied immediately before the end of the 2nd stage of labour. The residual volume after first urination was also found to be lower in the cases with catheterization.

Through inhibition of the detrusor muscle with the effect of increased progesterone during pregnancy, there may be retention for hours or even days after birth.5 Bladder sensitivity and these reductions in tonus are more evident after vaginal route delivery in particular.6,7 After vaginal delivery, the bladder is more flacid, less hypotonic and less sensitive for full voiding.5

The clear causes of PUR are still not known.4 A series of physiological, neurological and mechanical reasons in the postpartum period may be responsible for PUR.4 Especially when the 1st and 2nd stages of labour are prolonged, neurological damage may cause PUR.4 The pudendal and pelvic nerves may be damaged by impingement made by the infant’s head in prolonged labour.8 This damage to the nerves affects the parasympathetic pathway, which is responsible for providing normal micturition.8 In addition, this damage can cause urinary incontinence in the postpartum period.9 Mechanically, an over-distended bladder related to prolonged labour has a negative effect on the contraction ability of the bladder.5,9,10 The pain of edema and injuries to the perineal tissues associated with prolonged labour may cause problems initiating micturition.5,8,11,12

In the literature it has been reported that infant birthweight of >3800 gr causes PUR.4 In the current study, the mean birthweight in the group with PUR was 3243.23 gr and in the group without PUR, it was 3498.72 gr (p<0.05). The size of the sample can be considered insufficient to evaluate the effect of fetal weight on PUR. Cases with an infant >4000 gr birthweight were not included in the study. However, as there was no effect of fetal weight on PUR, it was possible to make a more objective evaluation of the factor of catheterization only.

The duration of the stages of labour may contribute to the development of PUR. In a study by Kekre et al.,14 the duration of labour in cases with PUR was found to be longer and a cut-off value of 700 mins was reported. Similarly, Yip et al.8 reported higher rates of PUR in cases with labour lasting longer than 800 mins. There are studies that state that only a prolongation of the 2nd stage of labour causes PUR.15 In a prolonged 2nd stage of labour, the extended duration of pressure on the bladder by the infant’s head and pressure on the pelvic muscles may cause damage to both the pelvic nerve and muscles, and with this cause a reduction of detrusor sensitivity and motor function in the postpartum period. There may be an additional problem of starting or effectively maintaining micturition because of the effect on the ureter.15 In the current study, labour was not as prolonged as in the above-mentioned studies. The two groups with and without PUR were evaluated in three stages: the active phase, stage 2 and stage 3 and the values were found to be similar for the three groups separately and for the total duration of labour in both groups (p>0.05). That there was no effect on PUR of the duration of labour could have been due to the low number of cases in the sample.

Cases with perineal damage or opened episiotomy were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Comparison of women with PUR and without PUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n=103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin use n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28(27.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Weight (Mean±SD) gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3498.72±3222.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active phase duration of labor (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205.62±147.74(180.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second stage duration of labor (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.46±14.56(10.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third stage duration of labor (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.10±3.62(5.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total labor time (Mean±SD(min))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228.98±151.35(210.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postvoidal residual volume (Mean±SD(median)) cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177.62±132.11(150.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum micturition time (Mean±SD(median)) min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214.95±92.01(210.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postvoidal residual volume (Mean±SD(median)) cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.46±38.73(0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: t test for independent samples, b: Mann-Whitney U test, c: Chi-Square test, PUR: Postpartum Urinary Retention
not included in this study as the aim was to more objectively
evaluate the effect of catheterization on the development of
PUR rather than perineal damage. Several studies have shown
a relationship between perineal damage and PUR.\textsuperscript{8,10,11,16,17} It
has been reported that while there is no relationship between
the degree of perineal damage and PUR, it is sufficient for
there to be an increase in PUR incidence.\textsuperscript{18} Perineal damage
may cause PUR because of edema in the tissues or by directly
affecting the detrusor tissue. In addition, pain reflex associated
with perineal damage causing ureteral spasm may play a role
in the development of PUR.\textsuperscript{14}

Several complications of PUR have been described in the
literature. Short-term complications are seen to be interruption
of urine flow, hesitation, poor flow and overflow incontinence
with stress because of pain and discomfort.\textsuperscript{19} Although there
are no clear data on long-term complications, frequency, a
feeling of tiredness on urination, stress urinary incontinence
and detrusor instability have been reported with persistence of
PUR.\textsuperscript{14} In a study by Andolf et al.,\textsuperscript{20} cases with PUR were fol-
lowed up for 3-4 years and no difference was reported in the
prevalence of urinary symptoms from the normal population.
In a large series study, PUR persistence was reported at 0.05%
and it was emphasized that this could be related to long-term
bladder dysfunction.\textsuperscript{21} In another study, this rate was found to
be 2.6%\textsuperscript{,22} In most studies, it has been reported that retention
recovers in a short time.\textsuperscript{14} Yip et al.\textsuperscript{3} reported that 82% of
covert PUR cases recovered spontaneously within 4 days. In
the current study, all cases achieved pain-free spontaneous uri-
nation within the first 48 hours.

The rate of PUR in the current study was seen to be 34.8%.
Various rates have been reported in the literature. Kekre et al.
\textsuperscript{14} evaluated 771 cases and reported a rate of 10.6%, Buchanan
and Beckmann\textsuperscript{2} reported 5.1% and other studies have pub-
lished rates of 0.06%, 29.4%, 47% and 8.1%\textsuperscript{,7-9} These differ-
ences would seem to be due to different designs of the studies
and whether the research was of overt or covert retention. In
large series studies the prevalence is low. Kekre et al.\textsuperscript{14} ac-
ccepted diagnostic criteria of postvoidal residual volume
$>$150cc (covert retention) and included all normal and instru-
mental deliveries in the studies. However, those studies were
retrospective.\textsuperscript{2,20} Mulder et al.\textsuperscript{3} excluded cases of twin preg-
nancies and epidural analgesia as these were factors that could
increase the PUR rate and the rate of covert retention was re-
ported as 47%.

In the current study, a Foley catheter was used for the
measurement of residual volume. The use of ultrasonography
to measure postvoidal residual volume is superior to catheter-
ization with respect to not causing infection and not being
traumatic.\textsuperscript{5} However, there is no universal opinion on the
measurement of postvoidal residual volume.\textsuperscript{5} In studies that
have compared ultrasonography and catheterization for the di-
agnosis of PUR, although no difference has been seen, some
authors have reported that especially immediately after deliv-
erly the use of ultrasonography is not reliable due to the di-
ensions of the uterus.\textsuperscript{5,20,24,25}

A limitation of the current study was the small number of
cases. However, the effects of factors such as macrosomia,
fundal pressure, twin pregnancies, prolonged labour, cae-
sarean delivery and perineal damage were eliminated. There-
fore, to what extent PUR was affected by the volume re-
maining in the bladder before delivery, and the voiding of that
volume, can be considered to have been evaluated more ob-
jectively. In this respect, this study can be considered to be of
value.

**Conclusion**

During vaginal birth, pelvic care is important. Throughout
labour, when the levator ani muscle, the anal sphincter, detru-
sor muscle, urethral sphincter, pudendal and other pelvic
nerves remain under pressure from the vaginal canal, there is
a risk of ischemia, injury and denervation. This may entail
short or long-term fecal or urinary incontinence, micturition
difficulties, impaired sexual functions and prolapse, which
then result in a decrease in quality of life, psychiatric prob-
lems and subsequent financial costs. Therefore, there is a need
for obstetricians to undertake more research on this subject for
less damage to be seen in pelvic tissue and organs during vagi-
nal birth and for the application of proven methods. Despite
the low number of cases in this study, the application of blad-
er catheterization immediately before the delivery of the in-
fant’s head was seen to decrease the rate of PUR and made a
positive contribution to bladder care during birth.
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