Laparoendoscopic Single-Site Hysterectomy: A Single Surgeon Experience in a Tertiary Hospital
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the clinical and surgical outcomes of the total hysterectomy cases performed with the laparoendoscopic single site surgery.

STUDY DESIGN: A total of 24 women who underwent total hysterectomy by the laparoendoscopic single site technique due to benign gynecological disorders were retrospectively evaluated. The duration of the operation, estimated blood loss, weight of the uterus, intra- and postoperative complications, pre- and postoperative day 1 hemoglobin and hematocrit levels, postoperative 6- and 24-hour visual analogue scale scores, duration of hospital stay, and postoperative complications were evaluated.

RESULTS: The mean total operation time was 112.1±24 minutes. The average time between the umbilical incision and starting the hysterectomy was 10±2.1 minutes. Estimated blood loss was 50±25 ml. The average duration of the hospital stay was 1.5±0.4 days. The mean uterus weight was 135 g. The mean difference between the pre- and postoperative hemoglobin values was 1.1 g/dl. The mean visual analogue scale scores were 4.1 (0-7) and 1.9 (0-4) in 6- and 24-hour postoperative periods. None of the women had an intraoperative complication. One patient (4.2%) had a port site hernia 6 months after the operation.

CONCLUSION: The total hysterectomy with laparoendoscopic single site technique is a reasonable method in selected patients with similar safety and feasibility, especially for women who prefer a single incision in umbilicus. The patient should be informed before the operation about potential complications such as a port-site hernia.
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Introduction

Hysterectomy is one of the most common gynecological operations. Vaginal and laparoscopic approaches are defined as “minimal invasive procedures” since there is no need for wide abdominal incisions. Although the vaginal approach has many advantages, if it is not applicable, laparoscopic surgery should be chosen instead of open surgery (1).

Minimal invasive surgery reduces the duration of hospital stay, lowers postoperative pain scores, and shortens the healing period. In last ten years, with the improvement in entrance techniques, the need for postoperative analgesia has been reduced and cosmetic results were improved. Laparoendoscopic single site (LESS) surgery is a new approach in this context, which aims to use the natural embryological orifice: the transumbilical route (2).

Traditionally, three or four port sites are used in gynecological laparoscopic operations. The main advantage of the LESS surgery is the use of the umbilicus as the port incision. Since the scar is hidden in the umbilicus, these operations are also called scarless operations (3,4).
The LESS surgery is also performed and scientifically evaluated in appendectomies, cholecystectomies, and various urological procedures (5). The first supracervical hysterectomy through LESS was performed by Pelosi et al. in 1992 (6), and the first total laparoscopic hysterectomy was performed by Lengebrekke et al. in 2008 (7).

The main concept of the LESS surgery is the localization of all the trocars through the same incision (8). For that reason, there are many limitations in the LESS surgery compared to multiport laparoscopy, such as hindrance of free movement of the instruments (crossing/sword-fighting instruments) or angle problems (breakdown of the triangulation) (3,4). Behnia-Willison et al. (9) compared the single- and multi-port laparoscopic approaches and observed that the LESS surgery was associated with better cosmetic results, decreased need for analgesia, and increased patient satisfaction.

In this study we aimed to evaluate the outcomes of the total hysterectomy cases performed with the LESS surgery.

Material and Method

A total of 24 women who underwent total hysterectomy by the LESS technique between March 2014 and July 2016 in Okmeydani Research and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey due to benign gynecological disorders were retrospectively evaluated. The study was approved by the Health Sciences University, Okmeydani Education and Research Hospital Ethics Committee (2016 #409) and was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles described by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Exclusion criteria were conversion to laparoscopy or laparotomy and malign disorders.

Demographic features such as age, body-mass index, parity, previous operations, and indication for hysterectomy were documented. Outcomes such as duration of the operation (skin incision to skin closure), estimated blood loss, weight of the uterus, intra- and postoperative complications, pre- and postoperative day 1 hemoglobin and hematocrit levels, postoperative 6- and 24-hour visual analogue scale (VAS) scores, and duration of hospital stay were recorded. The presence of incisional hernia during postoperative follow-up were also registered.

Preoperative Preparation

Mechanical bowel preparation was not used and a first-generation cephalosporin was administered 60 minutes before umbilical incision according to the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) recommendations (10).

Procedure

All the procedures were performed by a single surgeon (GD). Before the incision, 10 ml of Bupivacaine was injected into the umbilicus. A 2 cm vertical incision was made in the umbilicus and abdominal cavity was entered. A Octoport single port (DalimSurgNET, Seoul, Korea) was placed (Figure 1). Pneumoperitoneum was achieved. The operation was performed at a 30° to 45° Trendelenburg position and a 20° left-side position. The operator was on the left side of the patient.

As energy source, LigaSure™ Lap 10 mm (Valleylab/ Covidien, Boulder, CO, USA) was used. For colpotomy, a monopolar L-hook was used. The Clermont-Ferrand uterine manipulator (Karl Storz, Germany) was used in all cases for uterine manipulating. The round ligaments, ovarian ligaments, and broad ligaments were dissected (Figure 3). The vesicouterine peritoneal fold was opened, and the bladder was mobilized with a monopolar coagulator (Figure 4). The uterine vessels were dissected (Figure 5) with the LigaSure™ system (Medtronic, Minneapolis, USA), and the vaginal wall was incised circumferentially with a monopolar coagulator (Figure 6). The vaginal cuff closure was performed vaginally in all patients.

Figure 1: The Octoport (DalimSurgNET, Seoul, Korea) inserted in umbilicus

Figure 2: Panaromic imaging of the pelvis and laparoscopic instruments

Hysterectomy Procedure
A 30°, 5 mm, 31 cm long telescope and rigid laparoscopic instruments were used (Figure 2).
The VAS scores were evaluated routinely by a gynecology resident.

Homogeneous parametric variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, minimum, and maximum. Non-parametric variables were evaluated with a chi-square test. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22 (Statistical Package for Social Science) for Windows 10.0. A p<0.05 value was accepted as significant.

Results

A total of 141 women underwent total laparoscopic hysterectomy between March 2014 and July 2016 in Health Sciences University, Okmeydani Research and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. 117 (83%) women were operated with a conventional multiport laparoscopy; whereas 24 (17%) women were operated with the LESS technique.

In one patient, LESS was planned; however, due to wide pelvic adhesions, the operation had to be completed with open surgery. This latter case was excluded from the study. Most frequent indications were therapy refractory abnormal uterine bleeding and CIN III lesion by surgical margin. Eight (33%) of 24 women had a previous surgery. Two women had two cesarean sections, one woman had one cesarean section, three women had appendectomies, and two women had laparoscopic cholecystectomy in their previous history.

The demographic features of 24 women are shown in table 1. The mean age of the patients was 49.3±6.3 years. The mean body-mass index was 28.1±2.9 kg/m2.

The operative findings of 24 women are shown in table 2. The mean total operation time was 112.1 minutes. The average time between the umbilical incision and starting the hysterectomy was 10±2.1 minutes.

The mean estimated blood loss was 50 mL (5-200 ml). Blood transfusion was not necessary in this series of patients.

The mean uterus weight was 135 g (80-230 g). The mean difference between the pre- and postoperative hemoglobin values was 1.1 g/dL (0.2-2.1 g/dL). The mean VAS scores were 4.1 (0-7) and 1.9 (0-4) in 6- and 24-hour postoperative periods. None of the women had an intraoperative complication. Thirteen (54%) of the 24 women were discharged after 24 hours. One patient had a port site hernia 6 months after the operation and underwent hernia correction in our general surgery department in 12 postoperative months.
Discussion

In accordance with the cosmetic expectations of the patients, the single-port laparoscopic surgery is developing rapidly, with an increasing number of surgeons focusing on this approach (11). In this study, the experience of one surgeon using the LESS technique for a total hysterectomy has been presented.

Single-port laparoscopic hysterectomy is a safe and attainable technique; however, the evidence is not adequate to recommend its widespread use compared with multi-port laparoscopic hysterectomy. Considering the potential benefits such as better cosmetic outcome, single-port laparoscopic hysterectomy may be an alternative technique for the selected patients (12).

In a meta-analysis (13), it has been demonstrated that single-port laparoscopic hysterectomy is generally equivalent to multi-port laparoscopic hysterectomy in terms of intraoperative and postoperative complication rate, postoperative pain, conversion rate, estimated blood loss, and length of hospital stay; on the contrary, single-port laparoscopic hysterectomy requires slightly longer operative time. Comparing the results of the present study with the results of our previous study (14) about conventional multiport total laparoscopic hysterectomy including patients with early stage endometrial cancer, we observed that the duration of hysterectomy operation was slightly longer in LESS compared to the conventional multiport technique (112 min vs 104 min). Moreover, the complication rates, mean estimated blood loss, and mean duration of hospital stay were comparable.

In the LESS technique, the intracorporeal suturing is more difficult than conventional technique due to the breakdown of the triangulation and crossing/sword-fighting instruments (15).

A recent randomized controlled multicenter study from Italy (16) showed that laparoscopic closure of the vaginal cuff at the end of total laparoscopic hysterectomy was associated with a significant reduction of vaginal dehiscence, any cuff complication, vaginal bleeding, vaginal cuff hematoma, postoperative infection, need for vaginal resuture, and reintervention compared to the transvaginal approach. In our study, the vaginal cuff was sutured by transvaginal method. We did not observe any cuff dehiscence in our case series; however, the number of patients in our study is not enough to draw definitive consequences.

Gümüs et al. (17) have shown that in patients who require multiple surgical procedures, including those patients whose diseases extend across multiple disciplines, the necessary procedures can be performed safely and effectively when combined in a single laparoscopic operation. If the other surgeons

| Table 1: The demographic features of the patients in the laparoendoscopic single site group (n=24) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Demographic features           | Mean ± SD       | Range           |
| Age (y)                        | 49.3 ± 6.3      | 41-65           |
| Parity                         | 3.6 ± 1.4       | 1-6             |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 28.1 ± 2.9      | 24.5-34.9       |
| Previous abdominal surgery     |                 |                 |
| (n=8. 33.3%)                   |                 |                 |
| Cesarean section (3)           | 12.5%           |                 |
| Appendectomy (3)               | 12.5%           |                 |
| Laparoscopic. cholecystectomy (2)| 8.3%          |                 |
| Indications for surgery        |                 | 66.7%           |
| Abnormal uterine bleeding      | 16              |                 |
| Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 3 | 5              | 20.8%           |
| Adnexal mass                   | 2               | 8.3%            |
| Atypical complex hyperplasia   | 1               | 4.2%            |
| Additional surgical procedures |                 | 62.5%           |
| Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy | 15             | 27.5%           |
| Bilateral salpingectomy        | 9               |                 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Surgical outcomes</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>50 ± 25</td>
<td>5-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation (min)</td>
<td>112 ± 24</td>
<td>65-210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospital stay (day)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine weight (g)</td>
<td>135 ± 95</td>
<td>80-230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation, mL: Milliliter, Min: Minute, g: gram
from other disciplines have adequate surgical experience with the LESS surgery, all the procedures may be performed in a single session without any additional incisions.

The port site hernia is probably the main concern after the LESS surgery, and its rate is approximately 5.5% (18). In accordance with the literature, the port site hernia rate after the LESS surgery was 4.2% in our study. Nevertheless, in our previous study we did not observe any port site hernia after the conventional multiport surgery (14).

The main weaknesses of our study are its retrospective nature and the low number of patients. Large scaled prospective studies are needed to better evaluate the surgical outcomes and VAS scores.

In conclusion, the total hysterectomy with LESS technique is a reasonable option in selected patients with similar safety and feasibility, especially for women who prefer a single incision in umbilicus. The patient should be informed before the operation about the potential complications such as port-site hernia.
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